

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 49333/VGG/H320 3177 Kaori Ichiba 10/627,022 07/25/2003 **EXAMINER** 23363 7590 02/13/2004 CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP BELL, KENT L 350 WEST COLORADO BOULEVARD PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUITE 500 PASADENA, CA 91105 1661

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 26
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on isolater isolare: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Application Papers		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
6) Claim(s) is/are anowed.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
4) 🗹 Claim(x) is/ <del>are</del> pending in the application.		
Disposition of Claims		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.		
Status  1) Responsive to communication of filed 7/55/63		
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE $3$ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
The MAN INC DATE of this communication can	Kent L. Bell	1661
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	10/627,022	ICHIBA, KAORI
	Application No.	Applicant(s)

K.Z.Bell

Art Unit: 1661

#### **Detailed Action**

## Objection to the Disclosure

#### 37 CFR 1.163

The following is a quotation of section (a) of 37 CFR 1.163:

(a) The specification must contain as full and complete a disclosure as possible of the plant and the characteristics thereof that distinguish the same over related known varieties, and its antecedents, and must particularly point out where and in what manner the variety of plant has been asexually reproduced. In the case of a newly found plant, the specification must particularly point out the location and character of the area where the plant was discovered.

#### 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

As specific to United States Plant Patent applications, the specifics of 37 CFR 1.164 (reproduced below) are controlling:

The claim shall be in formal terms to the new and distinct variety of the specified plant as described and illustrated, and may also recite the principal distinguishing characteristics. More than one claim is not permitted.

Art Unit: 1661

**Detailed Action** 

In plant applications filed under 35 U.S.C 161, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112 are limited. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 162:

No plant patent shall be declared invalid for noncompliance with section 112 of this title if the description is as complete as is reasonably possible. The claim in the specification shall

be in formal terms to the plant shown and described.

The disclosure is objected to under 35 CFR 1.163(a) and under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification presents less than a full, clear, and complete botanical description of the plant and the characteristics which define same per se and which distinguish the plant from related known cultivars and antecedents.

More Specifically:

A. Applicant states on the Japanese Language Declaration, filed July 25, 2003 that a foreign filing for the instant plant was filed December 25, 2001. During a UPOVROM search, the Examiner located the foreign filing. The foreign filing, JP PBR 14194, application number 14194, sets forth the name of the instant plant as 'Blue Lake Blim'. The International Code of Nomenclature for Cultivated Plants Article 33 states "The correct name of a cultivar is the earliest legitimate name...". Since the instant plant was previously named 'Blue Lake Blim', the name of the instant plant should be changed to 'Blue Lake Blim', unless of course the foreign filing was inaccurately set forth on Applicant's Japanese Language Declaration and the instant plant is not the same plant in the foreign filing, JP PBR 14194.

Art Unit: 1661

**Detailed Action** 

B. The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.121(e) because the Latin name of the genus and species of the claimed plant and Variety Denomination of the claimed plant should be preceded by a heading as set forth in 37 CFR 1.163(c)(4)(5) and 37 CFR 1.163(d). This information should be set forth before the "BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION" section as

set forth in 37 CFR 1.163(c).

C. Page 1, line 17, Applicant states "parents". Applicant should set forth in the specification the name of the parents and patent status of each, if named. If unnamed, applicant should state "unnamed". Applicant should also state which plant was the pollen parent and which was the seed parent.

D. Applicant is requested to set forth in the specification the patent status of the parental cultivars and cultivar 'Blue Lake'. If patented, applicant should set forth the United States Plant Patent number after the cultivar name. If not patented, applicant should simply state "(unpatented)" after the cultivar name.

E. Page 1, lines 17 and 28, Applicant states "flowers". It appears - -florets- - would be the more appropriate botanical term to use in these instances. Correction and/or clarification is necessary.

Art Unit: 1661

**Detailed Action** 

F. Page 1, line 26, Applicant states "parent" but previously states "parents" on line 17 of

the same page. These recitations are contradictory. Correction and/or clarification is necessary.

G. Page 2, lines 2-4, Applicant should describe the photographic drawing. The current

recitation set forth is cumbersome and should be deleted. It is suggested that applicant state

- - The accompanying illustration shows a plant of the new cultivar showing the colors as true as is

reasonably possible to make in an illustration of this character. The photographic illustrations

depicts a plant of the new cultivar. - -.

H. Page 3, lines 3 and 4, Applicant should set forth in the specification the age of the

plant when described and container size, if grown in a container.

I. Page 4, line 11, Applicant should set forth in the specification additional information

relative to the instant plant's Cauline leaves including the typical and observed Cauline leaf width.

J. Page 4, lines 21 and 22, and page 6, line 15, Applicant states "136A-B" and "N87B-C",

respectively. It is not clear whether the coloration set forth means each coloration is present, a

Art Unit: 1661

**Detailed Action** 

mixture of both colorations, or a color in between the two set forth. Correction and/or

clarification is necessary.

K. Page 6, line 7, Applicant should verify the number of ray florets present on each

inflorescence.

L. Page 6, line 22, Applicant states "Sepals". Rather than stating "Sepals", it appears the

more botanical correct term to use is - -Phyllaries- -. Correction and/or clarification is necessary.

M. Page 6, lines 22-27, Applicant should set forth in the specification additional

information relative to the instant plant's sepals (phyllaries) including the typical and observed

sepal (phyllary) number, apex, base, and margin descriptor. The recitation "Several rows" for

quantity is insufficient in this regard.

N. Page 6, line 27, Applicant sets forth a sepal (phyllary) coloration. However, applicant

has not stated whether the coloration set forth is for the upper, lower, or both surfaces. Applicant

should set forth in the specification a color designation for the upper and lower surfaces with

reference to the employed color chart.

Art Unit: 1661

**Detailed Action** 

O. Applicant should set forth in the specification the lastingness of the individual bloom

and inflorescence, on and off the plant.

P. Applicant should set forth in the specification additional information relative to the

instant plant's flowers including the typical and observed number per inflorescence and number of

inflorescences per plant per season.

Q. Applicant should set forth in the specification the time it takes to produce a flowering

plant.

R. Applicant should set forth in the specification the number of pistils per floret.

The above listing may not be complete. Applicant should carefully review the disclosure

and import into same any corrected or additional information which would aid in botanically

identifying and/or distinguishing the cultivar for which United States Plant Patent protection is

sought.

Art Unit: 1661

**Detailed Action** 

## Claim Rejection

## 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st & 2nd Paragraphs

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs as not being supported by a clear and complete botanical description of the plant for the reasons set forth in the Objection to the Disclosure Section above.

# Potential Issue Under 35 U.S.C. 102

The claimed Aster variety 'Violet Lake' ('Violet Lake Blim') is described in Breeder's Right application number 14194 filed in Japan on December 25, 2001. It is unknown to the Examiner whether the Japanese Breeder's Right application was published. If published, the published application is a "printed publication" under 35 U.S.C. 102 because it is accessible to persons concerned with the art to which the documents relate. See *In re Wyer*, 655 F.2d 221, 226, 210 USPQ 790, 794 (CCPA 1981). See also MPEP § 2128. For example, UPOV publishes the application number, date of publication, species of plant and variety denomination for PBR certificates, and copies of the Japanese application, 14194, if/when published are obtainable through a Japanese Register similar to other countries under the UPOV convention. Thus information regarding the claimed variety, in the form of the publication noted above, was readily available to interested persons of ordinary skill in the art.

Art Unit: 1661

#### **Detailed Action**

A printed publication can serve as a statutory bar under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) if the reference, combined with knowledge in the prior art, would enable one of ordinary skill in the art to reproduce the claimed plant. *In re LeGrice*, 301 F.2d 929, 133 USPQ 365 (CCPA 1962). If one skilled in the art could reproduce the plant from a publicly available source, then a publication describing the plant would have an enabling disclosure. See *Ex parte Thomson*, 24 USPQ 2d 1618, 1620, (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1992)("The issue is not whether the [claimed] cultivar Siokra was on public use or sale in the United States but, rather, whether Siokra seeds were available to a skilled artisan anywhere in the world such that he/she could attain them and make/reproduce the Siokra cultivar disclosed in the cited publications.").

While the publication cited above discloses the claimed plant variety, a question remains as to whether the reference is enabling. If the plant was publicly available, then the application, combined with knowledge in the prior art, would enable one of ordinary skill in the art to reproduce the claimed plant. The ability of the Office to determine whether the claimed plant was publicly available is limited. Search of electronic databases, the internet and the Office's collection of retail catalogs has not revealed any evidence that the claimed plant was on sale anywhere in the world. However, the Office's collection of retail catalogs is not comprehensive. Furthermore, the claimed plant may have been sold at the wholesale level, sold under a different name, or even distributed to interested parties free of charge. Since the inventor and assignee of the instant application are in a better position to know when, if ever, the claimed plant was made

Art Unit: 1661

**Detailed Action** 

publicly available, the Examiner is requiring this information in the attached Requirement for

Information Under 37 CFR 1.105.

This Office action has an attached requirement for information under 37 CFR 1.105. A

complete reply to this Office action must include a complete response to the attached requirement

for information. The time period for reply to the attached requirement coincides with the time

period for reply to this Office action.

Comments

Applicant should note the new amendment format which is now mandatory (Web site

stated below).

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/revamdtprac.htm

Applicant should send all correspondence to the following address:

**COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS** 

P.O. BOX 1450

**ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450** 

Art Unit: 1661

#### **Detailed Action**

# Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Kent L. Bell whose telephone number is (571) 272-0973. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached at (571) 272-0974.

The fax phone number for the group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

K. L. Bell

KENT BELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Kent Bell

Art Unit: 1661

**Detailed Action** 

REQUIREMENT FOR INFORMATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.105

Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide

the following information that the examiner has determined reasonably necessary to the

examination of this application.

The information is required to determine when, if ever, the claimed plant variety, 'Violet

Lake' ('Violet Lake Blim'), was publicly available prior to the filing date of the instant

application.

In response to this requirement please provide any information available regarding the sale

or other public distribution of the claimed plant variety anywhere in the world, including the

date(s) of any sale or other public distribution. Also, please provide copies of the published (if

published) Japanese application, 14194. The Office does not maintain a collection of Breeders'

Rights documents and they are not readily obtainable electronically. It is reasonable to expect

that Applicant can readily obtain the requested document(s) and information.

The fee and certification requirements of 37 CFR 1.97 are waived for those documents

submitted in reply to this requirement. This waiver extends only to those documents within the

scope of this requirement under 37 CFR 1.105 that are included in applicant's first complete

communication responding to this requirement. Any supplemental replies subsequent to the first

communication responding to this requirement under 37 CFR 1.105 are subject to the fee and

certification requirements of 37 CFR 1.97.

Art Unit: 1661

**Detailed Action** 

Applicant is reminded that the reply to this requirement must be made with candor and good faith under 37 CFR 1.56. Where applicant does not have or cannot readily obtain an item of required information, a statement that the item is unknown or cannot be readily obtained will be accepted as a complete response to the requirement for that item.

This requirement is an attachment of the enclosed Office action. A complete reply to the enclosed Office action must include a complete response to this requirement. The time period for reply to this requirement coincides with the time period for reply to the enclosed Office action, which is three months.